# Wetland microbial community response to restoration

## INTRODUCTION

Wetland restoration has been proposed as a potential long-term carbon storage solution, with a goal of engineering geochemical dynamics to accelerate peat accretion and encourage greenhouse gas (GHG) sequestration. However, wetland microbial community composition and metabolic rates are poorly understood and their predicted response to wetland restoration is unknown. In an effort to better understand the underlying factors that shape the balance of carbon flux in wetland soils, we targeted the microbial communities along a salinity gradient ranging from freshwater tidal marshes to hypersaline ponds in the San Francisco Bay-Delta region. Using 16S rRNA gene sequencing and shotgun metagenomics, coupled with greenhouse gas measurements, we sampled sixteen sites capturing a range in salinity and restoration status. Our study links belowground microbial communities and their aboveground greenhouse gas production and highlights the inherent complexity in predicting wetland microbial response in the face of both natural and unnatural disturbances.

### **OBJECTIVES**

The aims of our study are to:

metagenomics.

- Sample microbial diversity across wetland age and salinity gradients
- Link microbial diversity to carbon flux measurements from wetland soils Evaluate the role of restoration in shifting the net greenhouse gas source-



**Figure 1.** Wetland sampling locations in San Francisco Bay-Delta. Blue markers are historical wetlands, red markers are restored wetlands, grey markers are unrestored wetlands.



Susanna Theroux (stheroux@lbl.gov)<sup>1\*</sup>, Wyatt Hartman<sup>1</sup>, Susannah Green Tringe<sup>1</sup> 1. DOE Joint Genome Institute, Walnut Creek, CA



Figure 3. Methane production versus salinity in SF Bay-Delta wetlands by sampling location and restoration status (inset). Highest rates of methane production were observed in restored freshwater wetlands.

#### SF Bay-Delta microbial communities



Figure 4A. NMDS of Bray-Curtis dissimilarities for wetland bacterial and archaeal populations by site (top) and plant type (bottom).



Figure 5A. NMDS of freshwater methanogen OTUs with environmental variables (bioenv) that contribute significantly to differences between historic and restored communities.









JOINT GENOME INSTITUTE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Figure 6. Methane production versus salinity in South Bay Salt Ponds. Highest rates of methane production were observed in unrestored, hypersaline ponds.



Figure 7A. Species overlap comparisons across three sampling sites (left) and dominant phyla across sites (right). **7B.** Results of methanogen enrichment culture substrate-addition experiment confirming the presence of methylotrophic methanogens, *Methanolobus* and *Methanococcoides*, in unrestored Pond R2.

## CONCLUSIONS

Microbial community composition and metabolic potential clustered strongly according to sampling site, plant type, and salinity.

The availability of trace metal terminal electron acceptors and total organic carbon may help explain large variations in methane production between restored and historic freshwater wetlands.

□ Methylotrophic methanogens using "alternative substrates" are responsible for elevated methane production in the hypersaline Pond R2.

Restoration drastically decreased methane production in the hypersaline ponds. Microbial communities in a recently restored pond were similar to those in a remnant historic marsh.