# South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project

Restoring the Wild Heart of the South Bay



#### Annual Stakeholder Forum meeting, November 15, 2012

## **Today's Topics**

- Phase 1 Progress
- Phase 2 Planning
- Funding Strategies
- South Bay Shoreline Study
- Science Program

## Tracking Our Progress: Phase 1



### **Key uncertainties**

- Wildlife use of changing habitats
- Habitat evolution and sediment dynamics
- Mercury methylation
- Water quality
- Invasive species
- Public access
- Infrastructure support
- Sea level rise and climate change





### **Ecological Trade-offs**

### Tidal Marsh species vs. Salt Pond species



### Adaptive Management Restoration

Phased implementation of Project

Amount of tidal marsh restored

2008

2058

Time





#### Tracking our Progress: Phase One Actions













TD





### Phase 2: Overview

### **Guiding Principles**

No actions that will increase flood risk

Progress toward 50-50 vision (from EIR)

## **Primary Evaluation Criteria**

- Likelihood of progress toward Project objectives
- Opportunities for Adaptive Management studies
- Value in building Project support
- Readiness to proceed
- Not dependent on precedent actions (e.g., construction of a flood levee)
- Input from Stakeholders













Imagery Date: 6/19/2011 (2) 1993

37\*34'50.83" N 122\*06'46.49" W elev 2 m











### **Transition Zone Creation**

Issues:

-Sources (upland vs. dredged material)-Placement methods (traffic, cost, etc.)







### 10 minute break...
## **Funding Strategies**

Amy Hutzel, Coastal Conservancy
Stephen Knight, Save The Bay
Mandy Ford, The Moore Foundation

## Opportunities for Wetland Restoration Funding







# Shellfish from this area are potentially hazardous and are not considered safe for human consumption.





## **Importance of Restoration**

- Provide Habitat for Fish & Wildlife
- Filter out Pollutants & Toxins
- Erosion Control & Flood Prevention
- Provide Recreation Opportunities
- Absorb CO<sub>2</sub> and Greenhouse Gases
- Enhance Quality of Life & Local Economy

## Greening The Bay



SAVEBAY

FINANCING WETLAND RESTORATION IN SAN FRANCISCO BAY

 Enact a regional special district to provide necessary local funding

 Ensure regional coordination for maximum restoration

## **Broad Support of Concept**



"I would welcome any mechanism to develop local funding for wetlands restoration."

- Senator Dianne Feinstein

"This is enlightened self-interest and insurance against a disaster or sea level rise that could happen tomorrow."

- Carl Guardino



"Local funding is crucial to protect the Bay's natural shorelines, safeguard homes and businesses from flooding, and create thousands of jobs in our communities."

- Jim Wunderman









## **Bay Restoration Authority**



#### Established by Legislature in 2008:

"raising and allocating resources for the restoration, enhancement, protection, and enjoyment of wetlands and wildlife habitat in the San Francisco Bay and along its shoreline."

## East & South Bay Restoration

- Eden Landing (Hayward)
- Bair Island (Redwood City)
- Ravenswood (Menlo Park East Palo Alto)
- Alviso Ponds (Milpitas)

## Central & North Bay Restoration

- Sonoma Baylands (Sears Point)
- Napa/Sonoma Marsh
- Hamilton Field / Bel Marin Keys
- Cullinan Ranch (Napa)
- Crissy Field / Yosemite Slough (SF)

Photo: Sonoma Land Trust

## **Public Funding Proposal**

A small (\$10-20 per year) tax on Bay Area parcels to raise millions to fund restoration projects for a clean, healthy, and vibrant Bay.

Other options exist such as benefit assessments, property-related fees

### In this Economy, Voters Are Cautious...

Now, I'm going to read you a measure that may appear on the ballot next year:

To restore and protect the quality of the San Francisco Bay including: cleaning up trash and pollution; protecting habitat for fish and wildlife; improving water quality; restoring more than forty-thousand acres of wetlands; and, providing flood protection; shall the San Francisco Bay Restoration Authority authorize an annual special tax of ten dollars per parcel for ten years with citizen oversight, audits, and all funds staying in the Bay Area.

If the election were held today, would you vote Yes to approve or No to reject this measure? (Q7)



Graphs Courtesy of EMC Research

## ...Yet Understand Needs

For each statement please tell me how compelling this is as a reason to support the measure. Please use the scale from 1 to 7 where one is not at all compelling and seven is a very compelling reason to support a Bay restoration measure.

7-Very Compelling	<b>■</b> 6-5	■ 4/Do	on't Know	■ I-3 Not Co	ompelling	Mean
This proposal would increase public access to a flooding, reduce trash and toxics in the Bay, and for fish and birds. This would be well worth th few dollars per year. (Q18	the Bay, help pro d restore vital ha ne investment of 3)	event abitats just a	35%	33%	11% 21%	5.11
Restoration enlarges and improves the San F Wildlife Refuge, providing protection for y mammals. (Q16)	Francisco Bay Na Young fish, birds	ational and	31%	38%	12% 20%	5.09
Over the last century, we have had a massive in levees, landfill and pollution run-off. It is not too of what we've done and restore the bay to its beauty for future generations. (	mpact on the Bay o late to reverse is natural health a (Q22)	y with some and	29%	38%	11% 21%	5.00

## Messages that Resonate

- Proposal would increase public access, help prevent flooding and reduce trash & toxics in and around the Bay
- Funding will restore vital habitat for hundreds of fish and bird species
- Investing a few dollars per year will result in significant improvements to the Bay

Key Objective: Develop an active, engaged constituency of 100,000 Bay Area voters by Dec. 31, 2013

Sears Point / San Pablo Baylands

## 

**South Bay Salt Ponds** 



## Shoreline Study Update

## **Flood Protection**

### Levee options



## Levee Options, Revised



## **Recommended Alignment**



# Reach from the Alviso Marina to the Artesian Slough





Typical Swing Gate Across Railroad

# Reach from the Artesian Slough to the Coyote Bypass



Typical Tide Gate Across Sloug



## **Restoration & Recreation**















## Science Update Stakeholder Forum November 15, 2012

Laura Valoppi Lead Scientist

## Sediment Budget and Mudflats



Schoellhamer, Shellenbarger

## Sediment Budget Results

Positive values are into Far Southern SFB



Schoellhamer, Shellenbarger

ience for a changing worl

## Sediment Budget Results

#### Positive values are into Far Southern SFB


# Sediment/ Mudflat Conclusions

- Dumbarton flux dominates sediment budget
- Dumbarton flux related to Delta flow
- Large uncertainties on sediment flux
- Science Team consensus to do tidal restorations sooner than later – head start for marshes
- Mudflats did not observe changes in



### **Breeding Bird Use of Islands**







Pond SF2 "Bird Laboratory"
Enhance pond with nesting islands, plover nesting area
Controlled tidal flow



#### Nest Locations on at Bird Laboratory (SF2) Islands in 2011

#### <u>2011</u>

193 nests 28 of 30 islands used 80% of nests on islands 6% of nests on levees 14% of nests in cell 3 panne

#### <u>2012</u>

68 nests
2 of 30 islands used
6% of nests on islands
0% of nests on levees
94% of nests in cell 3 panne





#### Summary for Bird Islands

- Birds nest on islands
- Nest site selection island characteristics and other nest locations
- More islands per pond € more nests per pond
- Spread out island among ponds – 1–3 islands/ponds
- **Best:** Medium sized, linear islands







# Migratory and Wintering Birds Use of Ponds



## Mean Total Birds During Winter



Birds increased 125% from Winter



Takekawa, Brand

## Western Snowy Plover Monitoring in the South San Francisco Bay



Robinson-Nilsen, Bluso-Demers, Tokatlian, Donehower

san francisco bay BIRD OBSERVATORY

## **Plover Nest Success**



# Plover Fledging Success

Year	Fledging Success	# chicks banded
2008	29%	83
2009	25%	113
2010	41%	39
2011	14%	36





Robinson-Nilsen, Bluso-Demers, Tokatlian, Donehower

# **Next Steps**

-Complete Phase I at Eden Landing

-Draft environmental documents for Phase II Alviso and Ravenswood

-Alternatives development for Phase II at Eden Landing

-Continue Monitoring and Adaptive Management



John Bourgeois California Coastal Conservancy jbourgeois@scc.ca.gov or 408/314-8859

**Eric Mruz** 

Don Edwards San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge <u>Eric\_Mruz@fws.gov</u> or 510/792-0222

> Laura Valoppi USGS, lead scientist laura\_valoppi@usgs.gov or 916/278-3124

John Krause California Department of Fish and Game jkrause@dfg.ca.gov or 415/250-0243

www.southbayrestoration.org or, follow us on Facebook

facebook



Name: South Bay Salt Pond Restoration Project